<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time</th>
<th>Session Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>14:00-14:10</td>
<td>Welcome and introduction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14:10-14:20</td>
<td>GCWG Update</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14:20-15:15</td>
<td><strong>CVA in Planning</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14:20-14:30</td>
<td>Overview of guidance and trends</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14:30-14:45</td>
<td>Country and Regional Presentations and Perspectives</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• <strong>DRC</strong>: Jennifer Price / Paul de Carvalho-Pointillart</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• <strong>Afghanistan</strong>: Abandokht Sarkarati</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• <strong>ECHO</strong>: Calogero Di Gloria, ECHO</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14:45-15:05</td>
<td>Group work to share experiences</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15:05-15:20</td>
<td>Feedback/Plenary and Q&amp;A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15:20-15:30</td>
<td>AOB</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15:30</td>
<td>Closing</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
GLOBAL CASH WORKING GROUP

TORs Revised and Circulated

• However, only one piece of feedback and only one nomination for co-chair

FEEDBACK:

• Leadership not representative of operational agencies and clusters / all leadership should be on a rotational basis
• TORs and leadership framed around the work during the next one year
• Clarity on the objectives, role of members and what members/ community gain or learns from GCWG
• If role is purely information sharing, the group should be renamed - Knowledge Management and Cash WG
• How does the group link to other regional and global groups/what role does the group play and has it been identified as a need?
GCWG

- Leadership:
  - Reopen field rotational leadership for 2021
  - Review in 2022 re: rotational model and expansion

- Workplanning and engagement of members

- Feeding into the larger community
  - Other global groups and processes
Eunice Mwende
CWG Inter-Agency Coordinator, WFP Uganda

NEW CO-CHAIR
Cash in the Humanitarian Programme Cycle

2021 Review and 2022 Planning
Enhanced HPC Guidance by HPC Steering Group
(IASC/OPAG approved)

CVA focus:

➢ Emphasis on inter-sectoral needs analysis and multisectoral response options
➢ Transparent reflection of modality decisions
➢ Optional MPC section - implementation, coordination and budgets of MPC programmes
➢ Promotion of outcome-based indicators as part of the monitoring systems
➢ GB MPC Indicators
Optional Section 1.6 for MPC

- Increase in the use of Optional Section 1.6 for MPC
- 18 HRPs used this for 2020 (the first year of introduction), now 20* for 2021
- However, still being used as a ‘catch all’ for other general CVA issues
  - Description of CVA generally and CVA Feasibility
  - CWG information
  - Intention to use MPC
- Clear instructions to integrate CVA are throughout the document

Cash Working Groups

- Cluster Coordination Mapping – 27 groups formally mapped (many more in non-cluster settings, not reported, sub-national)
- 23 of these linked to ICCG (85%)
- Updated mapping process – plus input from GCWG members
HRP REVIEW CONTINUED

Social Protection linkages

➢ Multiple references to linkages with social protection, HRPs with specific sections dedicated to nexus

MEB

➢ High level of reference and many more stating this will be prioritized for next year 9 HRPs so far referenced an MEB functioning with 4 stating that this is a priority for next year

Funding requirements:

➢ 4 included budgets in 2020 for MPC, in 2021 minimum 6 so far (review incomplete)

➢ Lots of inclusion of cash specific budget overall
  • Tracking queries
  • But for what funding or project

Feasibility included but:

➢ Only 2 HRPs explicitly used the Annex to specific Feasibility and MPC considerations (up from none in 2020)

➢ Still a relatively low uptake in sectoral chapters on justification of modalities (e.g. why cash, why in-kind)
HPC 2021
Step by Step

**Needs assessment & analysis**

1. Agree on the scope of the analysis and costing approach
2. Undertake secondary data review
3. Collect primary data
4. Conduct joint inter-sectoral needs analysis

**Response analysis & planning**

5. Define the scope of the HRP and formulate initial objectives
6. Conduct response analysis
7. Finalize strategic and specific objectives and indicators, and prioritise
8. Formulate projects/activities and estimate cost of the response plan
9. Undertake After Action Review

TIMELINE / NEXT STEPS

• June kick off for planning season – GHO inputs finalized by first week of December (offices input by the first week of November)

• Key decisions

• Tools available on the websites

• Internal review – analysis by theme/sector available
Afghanistan CVWG

May 2021 – Global working group meeting
Involvement with Inter-Cluster Coordination Team

CVWG and HRP

EiE
Protection (Mine-Action, GBV, Child protection)

Nutrition
Health
WASH
ESNFI
FSAC
MPC

CVA considered as a central response modality - new
Infrastructure Challenges – FSP & digital payments

Central Bank, development agencies/WB, UNCCS, BTCA

Security and Access challenges

Not modality specific but impacting CVA advocacy, especially when it gets mixed with myths

Cash readiness

CashCap support, CVWG trainings, Working groups trainings
Humanitarian cash and social protection

Very encouraging involvement – engagement from both sides – HRP inclusion

Government and policies (humanitarian standards versus government ownership)

Harmonization – alignment – external factors
Structure of the CWG in DRC

- same structure as a Cluster within DRC’s humanitarian architecture
- same status as a Cluster w/different scope
- member of the National Inter-Cluster
- sole responsibility for overseeing Multi-Purpose Cash
- collaboration with clusters on Multi-Sectoral and Sectoral Cash
- centralization of all cash intervention data
**HRP Planning Process**

**DRC HRP:** PLAN DE RÉPONSE HUMAHTAIRE RÉPUBLIQUE DÉMOCRATIQUE DU CONGO

*Consolidated overview of the use of multiple-use cash transfers (pg. 60)*

- Grand Bargain MPCA Indicators

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>#</th>
<th>INDICATEURS DE SUIVI</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>IND1</td>
<td># de personnes assistées à travers une réponse en espèces à usage multiple</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IND2</td>
<td>Montants (US$) distribués à travers une réponse en espèces à usage multiple</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IND3</td>
<td>% des ménages qui rapportent avoir été capables de répondre à leurs besoins de base, en ligne avec leurs priorités</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
HRP Planning Process

- Determining Targets
  > Projected planning numbers from organizations engaged in multi-purpose cash interventions

- Minimum Expenditure Basket for DRC
  > DRC MEB Guidance Document
  > DRC MEB Tool

- Feasibility of MPC and barriers/challenges

- Inclusion of cash and voucher assistance in specific clusters

- Data Collection tools: Dashboard & 4W
Thank you

Contact Info:

Paul de Carhalho-Pointillart (OCHA)
pointillart@un.org

Jennifer Price (Mercy Corps)
jeprice@mercy corps.org

https://www.humanitarianresponse.info/fr/operations/
democratic-republic-congo/cash-working-group
ECHO support to MPC scale up and its coordination in MENA region

Global Cash Working Group meeting
1 June 2021
EU policy framework for cash transfers

2015 – Council Conclusions on multi-purpose cash transfers

2016 – Evaluation of the use of transfer modalities in DG ECHO & Grand Bargain cash commitments

2017 – Large-scale cash guidance note

2019 – Joint donor statement on cash

2021 – Humanitarian Aid Communication & Revision of the cash guidance note and NEW cash thematic policy

CVA programming costs by channel of delivery in USD bn

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>UN Agencies</th>
<th>NGOs</th>
<th>RCRC Movement</th>
<th>Other</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2015</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2016</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2017</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2018</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>2.8</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Key Elements from the Common Donor Approach & the Joint Donor Statement (1)

**Over-arching objective of the approach:**

- Bringing donors together to help support more coherent, efficient and effective assistance with regard to humanitarian cash transfers

- Promote cash as an effective, people-centred modality that empowers recipients and confers dignity

- Focus on opportunity areas which could result in positive outcomes, including accountability, efficiency and effectiveness
Key Elements from the Common Donor Approach & the Joint Donor Statement (2)

Needs Assessments, Response analysis and Selection of Modalities
- Impartial, multi-sectoral, include market analysis
- Response analysis: keep response demand driven; determine most appropriate modality/ mix of modalities and build synergies

Single payment designed to cover multiple humanitarian needs
- Needs that can be met by cash can be bundled to allow a single payment
- Delivery system to be context specific (not necessarily a single system)

Interoperability offers an opportunity to improve efficiency and effectiveness of cash delivery through avoiding duplication
- Common, easily accessible referral systems may save efficiencies maximizing impact
Key Elements from the Common Donor Approach & the Joint Donor Statement (3)

**Mainstreaming protection and gender**
- Protection risk analyses; gender-sensitive programming
- Referral systems baked in, especially at points of contact with beneficiaries

**Innovation to streamline delivery of cash**
- Use new technologies where positive impact on efficiency and effectiveness
- E-transfers where possible (Mobile Money; pre-paid cards etc) + Analysis of FSPs

**Data sharing and registries/ databases**
- Data is a common good to be shared within data protection safeguards
- Interoperable databases to reduce duplication

**Linking to Social Protection/ Safety Nets**
- Where existing, use SN infrastructure
- Shift humanitarian cash transfers to longer term SNs where/ when possible; shock responsive SN

**Measuring effectiveness through outcome indicators and transparency**
- Work under the GB cash workstream to standardize outcome indicators for MPC
ECHO support to MPC scale up and its coordination in MENA region

- **Support to CWG towards:**
  - harmonization of targeting, transfer value, outcome monitoring
  - interoperability
  - data responsibility.
  - Donors’ coordination
Advocacy areas with Whole of Syria CWG

• Scale-up of MPC: 75/25 as satisfactory benchmark of efficiency ratio

• 1st time in Syria HRP (2021): dedicated section on CVA and MPC

• Harmonization on SMEB approach across 3 regional CWGs this year

• Advocacy for interoperability and adoption of safeguard measures for protection of beneficiaries’ personal data: anonymization & encryption
GAZA MPC Strategy

A  Registration & Targeting

B  Delivery

C  MEAL & AAP

NRC/MCE

WFP

WFP Scope

E-Wallet PayPal

Portal gate MoSD

Beneficiaries list

Action points...
- Essential Needs Assessment
- MEB consolidation & validation
- Risk analysis & management
- MEAL indicators

Action points...
- Design of a robust and regular market monitoring
- Capacity building on technical aspects
- Tool development or implementation of the exercise

MEAL

AAP
QUESTIONS?

THANK YOU!