Multi-Purpose Cash response in Gaza
“as long as there is any form of convertible trade in Gaza, cash transfers are relevant”
Context and MPCA response
Gaza and CVA Preparedness

- Gaza, occupied in 1967, has been living under siege since 2007.
- It is 365 square km with 2.2 million people, with a significant majority of children and youth.
- It is considered one of the areas with the highest density of population in the world.
- More than half of the population (pre-crisis) lives below the poverty line and 80% was estimated to depend on humanitarian assistance.
- Unemployment rates are among the highest in the world.
- Gaza has experienced 5 major emergencies in the past 17 years.
Context of the crisis

- Conflict started on 7th October – ongoing air, land, and sea bombardment.
- Multiple evacuation orders issued starting 13th October. Currently most areas of the Gaza Strip are affected by direct and indirect evacuation orders. Ground operation initiated on 27th October.
- 2.2 million people (total population of Gaza) are considered in need of humanitarian aid and food insecure.
- 75% of the population is internally displaced – mostly south of Wadi Gaza. Rafah hosts 1.3 million people (pre-crisis population 300,000)
- Risk of famine confirmed through IPC analysis in northern governorates, 95% of the population across the Strip in Phase 3 or above.
- Gaza and West Bank have different governments, contexts, and humanitarian operation systems; but one common SP. Functioning CWG existing in Gaza.
Market and FSP situation

- Formal market system collapsed – informal market (street vendors, stalls, truck selling) dominate the economic activities.

- Prices keep witnessing major fluctuations across different areas and different moments in time – generally increased significantly from pre-crisis levels.

- 22,463 trucks entered Gaza since October 07th, representing 32 % of normal entries pre-crisis. Only 10% are from the private sector.

- PalPay is the only functioning Financial Service Provider (FSP) – able to distribute across the Gaza Strip through its agents (250+ pre-crisis, now down to 50/70).

- While cash is available in Gaza, movement of, and access to, liquidity is increasingly challenging – BoP working to guarantee cash availability in PalPay agents.
Characteristics of the MPCA

1. **Identification**
   Joint agreement on common sources of names from day one:
   a. **MoSD IDP list** – register of all IDPs, compiled in Gaza
   b. **Agencies’ lists** – from local sources and existing caseloads, compiled in Gaza
   c. **National Social Registry** – pre-crisis information from social protection database, managed in Ramallah
   d. **Referrals**

2. **Deduplication**
   All names identified are deduplicated through the CWG to create a “MPCA masterlist”.
   CVA organization can a) request lists to distribute EMPCA, or b) share their own lists to be cross-checked. Data protection concerns remain.

3. **Targeting**
   Relaxed principles with freedom for partners to apply internal processes. Criteria evolved over time to accommodate change in the situation and lack of reliable information.
Characteristics of the MPCA

4. **Transfer value**
   Based on pre-crisis (2022) SMEB: 60% of the SMEB = 754 NIS
   Now raised to 80% (1,000 NIS) to accommodate price increases.

5. **Frequency**
   Originally designed as monthly (up to three) – focus shifted to first payment due to size of caseload and operational challenges in delivering aid. The plan in the next months is to provide three payments, considering elapsed periods between payments.

6. **Distribution mechanisms**
   Only one FSP managed to keep some of their services running after October (PalPay). “Cardless PIN codes” to be redeemed at cash out agents across the Strip are the distribution mechanisms used for all cash distributions.

7. **Monitoring**
   Basic monitoring indicators agreed with implementing agencies, capturing info on a) Impact of assistance and distribution process, and b) Usage and expenditure modalities – used as proxies for market understanding. Cash out rates are used as key indicator to monitor feasibility of cash assistance.
Market monitoring efforts

Security and access conditions do not allow for any proper market assessment. Flexible and creative approaches have been adopted to retrieve key market information.

1. Initiated but discontinued
   - Key Informant interviews with humanitarian workers in Gaza (led by REACH with cash actors support)
   - Supermarket monitoring. Cash actors calling supermarkets (also PalPay agents) to administer quick market survey.
   - PalPay agents daily monitoring. Cash actors calling PalPay agents, on a daily basis, to assess functionality and cash availability.

2. Ongoing
   - Informal market assessment continuously conducted by CRS through their staff in Gaza (started Nov 2023)
   - PDM questions for market understanding. Questions around availability of goods, access, and expenditures, are used as proxies to understand market situation.
   - Rapid Markets Overview are produced by REACH compiling partners data (points above), authorities’ data, and other available market information.
   - WFP market overviews – recently shifted to informal market assessment.
Advocacy and fundraising

Key message: in-kind cannot possibly support 2.2 million people, cash is needed.

- **Three publications** in the first months of response:
  1. **The moment is now! - Call for funds** - 22/10/2023.

- Liaised with RCO to include cash and markets elements in HC/RC talking points.
- Donors briefings and dedicated reports, including through other Clusters’ channels.
- Internal FAQs for partners to use for advocacy and fundraising.
- Background document about liquidity challenges.
MPCA actors’ position

- Consulted people in Gaza reported **preference for unrestricted cash**, consistently confirmed also through PDM findings.

- Cash is working as “**equalizer**”, allowing everyone - who does not get enough, or does not get at all, in kind assistance - to access basic needs through markets.

The stated objective is to support people to get access **whatever is available**, that is **possibly meets some level of need**, even if far from accepted programmatic standards.
Overview of response - Gaza

- Response started on 13th October
- 172,619 HHs delivered
- 1,176,750 individuals
- 160,000,000 NIS / 42,500,000 USD delivered
- Cash out rates average 72%
- 17 agencies involved
PDM results

- **Working together**: few days after the start of the response the CWG discussed harmonized monitoring. **Agencies’ commitment** to short and agile **common set of questions** to allow response-level analysis.

- Post Distribution Monitoring activities used as **entry point for market understanding**. Making the maximum out of extremely limited contacts within Gaza.
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Month</th>
<th>All</th>
<th>Some</th>
<th>None</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Oct/Dec2023</td>
<td>60%</td>
<td>30%</td>
<td>10%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jan/Feb2024</td>
<td>88%</td>
<td>4%</td>
<td>8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>March/Apr2024</td>
<td>62%</td>
<td>20%</td>
<td>18%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Challenges and lesson learned
Challenges

Security
Ongoing conflict and ground military operation with impact on civilians and infrastructures, including the financial sector.

What did we do?
1) Expanded cash out period (up to 2 months),
2) facilitated accessibility to cash assistance through massive network of agents, avoiding need for long distances movements).

Electricity and telecoms cuts
Electricity and telecoms cut, largely made on purpose, can affect the whole Gaza Strip or different areas at times leading to complete lack of phone and internet coverage. Phone coverage is needed for cash distributions.

What did we do?
1) Included telecoms in CWG advocacy products and key messages,
2) Monitored telecoms connectivity through AAP group and ETC.

FSP functionality
FSP agents were also displaced along with the population, and only some were able to continue operating from new locations. Physical infrastructure, safe access to ATMs, and minimal connectivity are needed for agents to work.

What did we do?
1) Set up daily monitoring of agents' functionality (dismissed)
2) Engaged FSP (PalPay) in ongoing discussion and joint monitoring, and facilitated fuel delivery for PalPay operations.

Extra fees
Due to security situation, perceived risks, and cash circulation bottlenecks, FSP agents were consistently reported as getting extra fees from cash recipients.

What did we do?
1) Initiated monitoring on single agents and liaison with FSP HQs.
2) Jointly negotiated with FSP on practical and SMART solutions, including temporary increases of fees and reinforced accountability.
### Challenges - continued

#### Liquidity

Liquidity issues are driven by three main factors: 1) the concentration of people in small areas, 2) the immense logistics and security challenges in moving cash, and 3) the irregular trends of deposits of cash from business into banks.

**What did we do?**
1) Supported BoP and PalPay on logistic and security arrangements for cash movements (not happened).
2) Working with RCO on setting up high-level Task Team with member states and PA.

#### Data protection

The need for quick response and the lack of pre-crisis solid data sharing systems led to reduced consideration of data protection standards. This remains a gap.

**What did we do?**
1) Centralized data sharing within the CWG, helping to reduce uncontrolled data circulation.
2) Recently initiated work to develop proper cross-checking system, enhancing data protection.

#### Market functionality

The collapse of formal economy and the lack of timely and comprehensive information on markets in different areas poses challenges to design and monitor of MPCA.

**What did we do?**
1) Multiple initiatives to gain understanding of market situation.
2) Consolidation efforts to compile information from different sources, including proxies and indirect data.

#### Scepticism

Appropriateness of cash assistance has been strongly challenged by actors, including donors, coordination bodies, and other relevant stakeholders, leading to overburdening efforts to advocate for funds and resources.

**What did we do?**
1) Organized information sessions for donors
2) Developed internal explicatory notes (FAQ) to provide cash actors with harmonized and agreed-upon messages and information.
Private sector engagement

- Regular communication with the Financial sector including Banks – operation capacity, liquidity availability, challenges.. etc
- Support on coordination (forming coordination and advocacy groups including donors, UN, gov, member states, and donors)
- Logistics support / needs
- PalPay capacity building/ orientations - humanitarian principles - code of conduct
- Regular communication with the business community – supply chain import/export - changes on business modality.. Etc
Coordination

- **MPCA technical group** established for operational agencies to coordinate design and operations; separate (but feeding into) from larger Gaza CWG.
- Strong commitment from MPCA actors to work together from the beginning: **joint programme approach**.
- **Information Management support** included for the first time, mainly to run deduplication.

- Side groups and external support teams for ad-hoc tasks:
  - **Group Cash Transfer Task Team** established.
  - **Emergency CVA group** supported advocacy efforts.

**Coordinated Plans**

- Emergency MPCA featured in all iterations of **OPT Flash Appeal**
- Two out of three **HF allocations** included Emergency MPCA.

### Multi-purpose Cash Assistance (MPCA)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>REQUIREMENTS (USD)</th>
<th>PEOPLE TARGETED</th>
<th>IMPLEMENTING PARTNERS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>OPT</td>
<td>$244.1M</td>
<td>1.4M</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GAZA STRIP</td>
<td>$233.8M</td>
<td>1.4M</td>
<td>21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WEST BANK</td>
<td>$10.2M</td>
<td>0.01M</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Early lesson learned

1. **Emergency situations require creativity and flexibility.** Flexibility to adapt tools and practices based on changes in the situation (e.g. market monitoring, impossible to have something comprehensive, we need to compile together bits and pieces).

2. **Preparedness is key for quick response.** Emergency MPCA response kicked off within days from the outbreak of the emergency thanks to pre-crisis preparedness, including well-established cash coordination structure.

3. **Effective and timely communication and advocacy is as important as technical and operational work.** Engagement with all parties relevant to CVA, during all phases of emergencies, is key to ensure sustainability and support to cash response.

4. **Fluidity and context unpredictability require caution in communication and language around cash actors’ operations and challenges.**

5. **Avoid complicated decision-making processes.** Need to lighten up decision-making processes, by involving only operational actors who are directly impacted.

6. **It is very challenging to establish referral mechanism during emergencies.** Clear referrals pathways need to be established pre-crisis for effective referrals during emergencies.

7. **Moving as one body.** MPCA response must be homogeneous given activities are the same across all partners, hence the need to work collectively on all elements of response.

8. **Cash coordination needs to be properly resourced to ensure technical and operational efficiency and effectiveness.**
West Bank

- Occupied since 1967, home to around 3 million Palestinians, and over 7,000 illegal Israeli settlers.
- Plagued by a number of different simultaneous emergencies, eroding coping capacities of affected households.
- Limited dedicated funding for humanitarian response, compared to Gaza.
- Settler violence attacks resulting in damage to properties, injuries, and death. Over 1,500 people were displaced in 2023 due to settler violence.
- Repeating military operations inside refugee camps in other communities (e.g. Jenin July 2023, Nour Shams April 2024)
- Movement restrictions across the whole West Bank, also limiting economic activities.
- Impossibility for Palestinians to build anything in Area C (covering over 60% of the West Bank)
Overview of response – West Bank

Set up **MPCA dedicated coordination** system (WB MPCA meeting)

- **SOP for Emergency MPCA to displaced communities due to settler violence**
- **SOP for Emergency MPCA to households affected by military operations**
- **HHs affected by closures and movement limitations**
- **Gazan medical cases** stuck in the West Bank
- **Gazan workers** deported and stuck in the West Bank
- **HHs victims of demolitions**