The following **scenarios provide examples of how these elements might be combined differently** to favor the interests and capacities of some stakeholders over others.

### Design Lens: Institutional (Viability)
Most adapted to humanitarian organizations. Uses a combination of existing CVA delivery mechanisms (e-voucher) and assistance delivery models (HSP, vendor marketplace)

#### How it Works:
- **Pros:**
  - Familiar to humanitarian orgs
  - No KYC required (ex. vendors)
  - Supports host communities
  - Convenient and safe

- **Cons:**
  - High coordination burden
  - High cost & high maintenance
  - Less flexibility & choice for person on the move
  - Less adaptable to changing routes
  - Security concerns – may attract bad actors

- **Additional Assistance**
  - Health services
  - Information
  - Temp. shelter
  - Psychosocial
  - Child-friendly spaces

- **Local Marketplace**
  - (Host community)

- **How it Works:**
  - **Departure**
    - “Anchor” Org.
      - If I’m new: register
      - If I’m not: Check-in
    - **Humanitarian Service Point**
      - If I’m new: receive digital voucher
      - If I’m not: Receive top-up $**

  - **Destination**

A. Digital voucher/e-voucher provider (ideally, flexible system with app, card, biometric options + dashboard)
B. Local vendors – must have a smartphone or POS + bank account
C. Payment aggregator or bulk payment processor w/intl. coverage

---

**SCENARIO 1:**
The Waystation

- Buy what I need now & for the road
- Interact & discuss with host community

**How it Works:**
- Single closed-loop system used by multiple orgs (e-voucher card / digital certificate)
- Anchor organization
- Has an established presence in location
- Establishes service point
- Registers newcomers & logs “check in” for ppl already in system (ex. enrolled at a prior service point)
- **Anchor and/or partner organizations in location**
- Select and enroll local vendors from host community
- Provide additional assistance and services
- Provide general information and maps of waystations